



CITY OF FRANKLIN, WISCONSIN

Territory & Project Plan Amendment to Tax Incremental District No. 3



August 21, 2013

| Joint Review Board Organizational Meeting Held: |
|---|
| Public Hearing Held: |
| Adopted by CDA: |
| Adopted by Common Council: |
| Consideration for Approval by the Joint Review Board: |

August 19, 2013 August 22, 2013 August 22, 2013 September 3, 2013 September 18, 2013



Wisconsin Offices also in Illinois and Minnesota phone262-785-1520fax262-785-1810toll free800-717-9742

www.ehlers-inc.com

375 Bishops Way, Suite 225 Brookfield, WI 53005-6202

Tax Incremental District No. 3 Territory & Project Plan Amendment

City of Franklin Officials

Common Council

| Thomas M. Taylor | Mayor |
|-------------------------|---|
| Mark Dandrea | Council Member |
| Daniel Mayer | Council Member |
| Kristen Wilhelm | Council Member |
| Steve Taylor | Council Member |
| Doug Schmidt | Council Member |
| Ken Skowronski | Council Member |
| | City Staff |
| Mark Luberda | Director of Administration |
| | |
| Lisa Huening | Department of Administration |
| Calvin Patterson | Director of Finance & Treasurer |
| Paul Rotzenberg | Finance Director |
| Sandra L. Wesolowski | City Clerk |
| Jesse Wesolowski | City Attorney |
| | CDA |
| Mayor Tom Taylor, Chair | Mark Kass |
| Alderman Ken Skowronski | James Mullarkey |
| Linda Ryan | Jesse Wesolowski |
| Edward Holpfer | George Vignyvich |
| Joi | nt Review Board |
| Randy Ritter | City Representative |
| Teig Whaley | Milwaukee County |
| James Williams | Milwaukee Area Technical College District |
| Sara Burmeister | Oak Creek - Franklin School District |
| Randy Gorman | Public Member |
| | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY4 |
|--|
| TYPE & GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICT |
| MAP OF ORIGINAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY, WITH TERRITORY AMENDMENT AREA IDENTIFIED9 |
| MAP SHOWING EXISTING USES & CONDITIONS WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED |
| PRELIMINARY LIST OF PARCELS WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED TO THE EXISTING DISTRICT & ANALYSIS |
| EQUALIZED VALUE TEST12 |
| STATEMENT OF KIND, NUMBER AND LOCATION OF PROPOSED PUBLIC WORKS AND OTHER PROJECTS |
| MAP SHOWING PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS AND USES WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED |
| DETAILED LIST OF ADDITIONAL AND/OR UPDATED PROJECT COSTS |
| ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDY & A DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS OF FINANCING AND THE TIME WHEN SUCH COSTS OR MONETARY OBLIGATIONS RELATED THERETO ARE TO BE INCURRED |
| ANNEXED PROPERTY |
| ESTIMATE OF ADDITIONAL TERRITORY TO BE DEVOTED TO RETAIL BUSINESS |
| PROPOSED CHANGES IN ZONING ORDINANCES |
| PROPOSED CHANGES IN MASTER PLAN, MAP, BUILDING CODES AND CITY OF FRANKLIN ORDINANCES |
| RELOCATION |
| ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT AND/OR REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN |
| A LIST OF ESTIMATED NON-PROJECT COSTS |
| OPINION OF ATTORNEY FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN ADVISING WHETHER THE PLAN IS COMPLETE AND COMPLIES WITH WISCONSIN STATUTES, SECTION 66.1105 |
| EXHIBIT A - CALCULATION OF THE SHARE OF PROJECTED TAX INCREMENTS ESTIMATED TO BE PAID BY THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY IN THE OVERLYING TAXING JURISDICTIONS |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DESCRIPTION OF ORIGINAL DISTRICT AND PROPOSED TERRITORY AMENDMENT

- Original District Purpose.
 - Tax Incremental District ("TID") No. 3 (the "TID" or "District") is an existing mixed-use district, which was created by a resolution of the City of Franklin ("City") Common Council adopted on June 21, 2005 (the "Creation Resolution").
- Additional Amendments.
 - The District has not been previously amended.
- Purpose of this Amendment.
 - To further facilitate development and/or redevelopment within areas adjacent to the District, the City desires to amend its boundaries to add territory. A map, located in Section 3 of this plan, identifies the Territory to be added and its geographic relationship to the existing District's boundaries.
 - This amendment will cause territory to be added to the District, providing incentive and opportunities for additional private development and redevelopment.
 - This amendment will modify the categories, locations or costs of the Projects to be undertaken, providing incentive and opportunities for additional private development and redevelopment.
 - This amendment will allow for the District to incur project costs outside of, but within ½ mile of, the boundaries of the District as permitted under Section 66.1105(2)(f)1.n. Wisconsin Statutes.
- Estimated Total Project Expenditures.
 - The City anticipates making project expenditures of approximately \$13 million to undertake projects in the original District area, amendment area and within a ½ mile radius of the proposed area as listed in this Project Plan. It is anticipated that the remaining and additional projects will be completed in four phases. The Expenditure Period of this District terminates on June 21, 2020. The remaining and additional projects to be undertaken pursuant to this Project Plan are expected to be financed with tax increment funds on hand and General Obligation notes issued in the years when and if development incentives are offered, however, the City may use other alternative financing methods which may provide overall lower costs of financing, preserve debt capacity, mitigate risk to the City, or provide other advantages as



determined by the Common Council. A discussion and listing of other possible financing mechanisms, as well as a summary of project financing by phase is located in Section 10 of this plan.

- The additional project costs include costs for 27th Street reconstruction, including sewer & water improvements, road construction. These project areas, are located both in the current boundary, within the proposed amended area & outside of, but within ½ mile of the proposed amended boundary of the District and within the City.
- Economic Development.
 - As a result of the amendment of this District, the City projects that additional land and improvements value of approximately \$131.3 million may be created as a result of new development, redevelopment, and appreciation in the value of existing properties. This additional value will be a result of the improvements made and projects undertaken within the amended area and within the original District boundaries. A table detailing assumptions as to the timing of new development and redevelopment, and associated values is located in Section 10 of this plan. In addition, the amendment of the District is expected to result in further economic benefits as detailed in the Summary of Findings hereafter.
- Expected Termination of District.
 - o TID No. 3 has a maximum statutory life of 20 years, and must close not later than June 21, 2025, resulting in a final collection of increment in budget year 2026. Preamendment cash flow projections considering only existing increment value and assuming no additional projects are undertaken anticipate total cumulative revenues that will exceed total liabilities by the year 2017, enabling the District to close nine years earlier than its maximum life. Based on the Economic Feasibility Study located in Section 10 of this Plan, amendment of the District would shift the projected closure year from 2017 to 2021. With the projection of an additional of \$106 million in property value, at the time of closure, resulting from the proposed project expenditures.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

As required by s.66.1105 Wis. Stats., and as documented in this Project Plan Amendment and the exhibits contained and referenced herein, the following findings are made:

- 1. That "but for" amendment of this District, the additional development projected to occur within the amendment areas as detailed in this Project Plan: 1) would not occur; or 2) would not occur in the manner at the values, or within the timeframe desired by the City. In making this determination, the City has considered the following information:
 - Some sites proposed for development and/or redevelopment within the amended area have remained vacant for several years due to lack of adequate infrastructure, environmental contamination, obsolete platting and ownership by multiple parties. Given that the sites have not developed as would have been expected under normal market conditions, it is the judgment of the City that the use of tax incremental financing ("TIF") will be required to provide the necessary infrastructure inducements to encourage development on the sites consistent with that desired by the City.



- In order to make the amendment areas suitable for development and/or redevelopment, the City will need to make a substantial investment to pay for the costs of: installation of utilities; installation and/or improvements of streets and related streetscape items; development incentive payments; and other associated costs. Due to the extensive initial investment in public infrastructure and/or rehabilitation that is required in order to allow development and/or redevelopment to occur, the City has determined that development and/or redevelopment of the amendment area and areas in the original District will not occur solely as a result of private investment. Accordingly, the City finds that absent the use of TIF, development and/or redevelopment of the amendment area is unlikely to occur.
- 2. The economic benefits of amending the Tax Incremental District, as measured by increased employment, business and personal income, and property value, are sufficient to compensate for the cost of the improvements. In making this determination, the City has considered the following information:
 - As demonstrated in the Economic Feasibility Section of this Project Plan, the total tax increments projected to be collected are more than sufficient to pay for the actual and proposed Project Costs within the original District and the amended areas. On this basis alone, the finding is supported.
 - The potential for a major new development resulting in additional jobs over the life of the District if the City is able to provide greater development incentives. The allowance for additional development incentives has been included in this project plan amendment.

3. The benefits of the proposal outweigh the anticipated tax increments to be paid by the owners of property in the overlying taxing jurisdictions.

- If approved, the boundary amendment would become effective for valuation purposes as of January 1, 2013. As of this date, the values of all existing development would be frozen and the property taxes collected on this base value would continue to be distributed amongst the various taxing entities as they currently are now. Taxes levied on any additional value established within the amendment area due to new construction, renovation or appreciation of property values occurring after January 1, 2013 would be collected by the TID and used to repay the costs of TIF-eligible projects undertaken within the District.
- Given that additional development is not likely to occur or in the same manner without the use of tax incremental financing (see finding # 1), and since the District will generate additional economic benefits that are more than sufficient to compensate for the additional cost of the improvements (see Finding #2), the City reasonably concludes that the overall additional benefits of the District outweigh the anticipated tax increments to be paid by the owners of property in the overlying taxing jurisdictions. It is further concluded that since the "but for" test is satisfied, there would, in fact, be no foregone tax increments to be paid in the event the District is not amended. As required by Section 66.1105(4)(i)4., a calculation of the share of projected tax increments estimated to be paid by the owners of property in the overlying taxing jurisdictions has been made and can be found in Appendix A of the Project Plan.
- 4. Not less than 50% by area of the real property within the District, as amended, is suitable for a combination of commercial and residential uses, defined as "mixed-use development" within the meaning of Section 66.1105(2)(cm) of the Wisconsin Statutes. Lands proposed



or developed for newly platted residential development comprise 14%, as amended, by the area of the real property within the District. Any project Costs related to newly platted residential development are eligible expenditures based on the finding that the development; has a residential housing density of at least 3 units per acre as defined in Section 66.1105(2)(f)3.a., Wisconsin Statutes.

- 5. Based upon the findings, as stated above, and the original findings as stated in the Creation Resolution, the District remains declared a mixed-use District based on the identification and classification of the property included within the District.
- 6. The Project Costs of the District relate directly to promoting mixed-use development in the District consistent with the purpose for which the District was created.
- 7. The improvements to be made within the territory incorporated by this Amendment are likely to enhance significantly the value of substantially all of the other real property in the District.
- 8. The equalized value of the taxable property within the territory to be added to the District by this amendment, plus the value increment of all other existing tax incremental districts within the City, does not exceed 12% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the City.
- The City estimates that less than 35% of the territory within the District, as amended, will be devoted to retail business at the end of the District's maximum expenditure period, pursuant to Sections 66.1105(5)(b) of the Wisconsin Statutes.
- 10. The Project Plan for the District, as amended, is feasible, and is in conformity with the Master Plan of the City.



2 TYPE & GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF DISTRICT

The District was created under the authority provided by Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105 on June 21, 2005 by resolution of the Common Council. The District's valuation date, for purposes of establishing base value, was January 1, 2005.

The existing District is a "Mixed Use District" based upon a finding that at least 50%, by area, of the real property within the District was suitable for a combination of commercial and residential uses within the meaning of Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(2)(cm). The District will remain in compliance with this finding after the addition of the territory identified in this Amendment. The District will also remain in compliance with the prohibition that no more than 35% of the area of the District be allocated for newly-platted residential development. To the extent that the City has incurred, or may incur, Project Costs for newly platted residential development, the residential development will have a density of at least 3 units per acre as defined in s.66.1105(2)(f)3.a., Wisconsin Statutes. The Preliminary Parcel list found in Section 5 of this plan provides a calculation demonstrating continued compliance with both the 50% test and the 35% test.

Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(4)(h)2. provides authority for a City to amend the boundaries of an existing Tax Increment District for purposes of adding and/or subtracting territory up to a total of four times during the life of the District. The boundaries of the District have not previously been amended. This Project Plan Amendment supplements, and does not supersede or replace any component of the original Project Plan, unless specifically stated. All components of the original Project Plan remain in effect.

The purpose of the Amendment is to facilitate development within areas adjacent to the existing District. The amendment to the District boundaries and the Project Plan will enable the City to install additional public improvements, and to make additional necessary related expenditures that will create development and/or redevelopment opportunities consistent with the original purposes for which the District was created. The amendment is also to update and/or provide for the undertaking of additional expenditures.

A map depicting the boundaries of the District is found in Section 3 of this Plan. Based upon the findings as stated above, and the original findings as stated in the Creation Resolution, the District remains a mixed-use District based on the identification and classification of the property included within the District.





MAP OF ORIGINAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY, WITH TERRITORY AMENDMENT AREA IDENTIFIED









MAP SHOWING EXISTING USES & CONDITIONS WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED



Amended Area w/ Land I Expansion #3 ΗF



5

PRELIMINARY LIST OF PARCELS WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED TO THE EXISTING DISTRICT & ANALYSIS

| SCENARIO | W |
|----------|----|
| Majo | r |
| Developr | ne |

| City of Franklin, WI |
|------------------------------|
| Tax Increment District No. 3 |
| Preliminary Parcel List |

| | • | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| MAP REF. # | PARCEL NO. | STREET ADDRESS | OWNER | ASSESSED VALUE - PERS PROP | ASSESSED VALUE - LAND | ASSESSED VALUE - IMP | TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE (LAND & IMP) | ASSESSMENT CLASSIFICATION | LAND RATIO (BY CLASS) | IMPROVEMENTS RATIO (BY CLASS) | EQUALIZED VALUE | PARCEL ACREAGE | CURRENT ZONING | ACTUAL USE | ACRES ZONED OR PLANNED FOR COMMERCIAL/ BUSINESS USE | ACRES SUITABLE FOR MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT |
| | ISTRICT AREA D | ATA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.000 |
| 101 | 714 0003 001 | 6701 S 27TH ST | WAL-MART REAL ESTATE BUSINESS TRUST | 4,265,700 | 6,883,200 | 7,723,400 | 14,606,600 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 14,073,225 | 15.201 | PDD 13 | commercial | 15.201 | 15.201 |
| 102 | 714 0002 000 | 6715 S 27TH ST | BASRA NIRMALIIT SINGH & RAJINDER K | 87,500 | 665,300 | 407,300 | 1,072,600 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 1,033,433 | 1.070 | PDD 13 | commercial | 1.070 | 1.070 |
| 103 | 738 9974 004 | 6801 S 27TH ST | GENEVA ASHX I LLC (22.46%) ET AL | 227,400 | 4,320,300 | 3,433,100 | 7,753,400 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 7,470,277 | 19.700 | B-2 | commercial | 19.700 | 19.700 |
| 104 | 738 9974 005 | | DAL 27TH STREET CLINIC, LLC | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 96 | 11.450 | B-2 | vacant | 11.450 | 11.450 |
| 105 | 738 9975 008 | 6855 S 27TH ST | DAL 27TH STREET CLINIC, LLC | 1,758,500 | 1,358,300 | 2,596,600 | 3,954,900 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 3,810,483 | 2.784 | B-2 | commercial | 2.784 | 2.784 |
| 106 | 738 0071 000 | 6933 S RIVERWOOD BLVD | RIVERWOOD VILLAGE LLC | 201,100 | 1,658,000 | 1,542,200 | 3,200,200 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 3,083,341 | 3.980 | PDD 10 | commercial | 3.980 | 3.980 |
| 107 | 738 9978 005 | 6919 S 27TH ST | BOURAXIS ANDREAS IRREVOCABLE TRUST | 0 | 1,242,700 | 0 | 1,242,700 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 1,197,322 | 3.190 | PDD 10 | ommercial and vacar | 3.190 | 3.190 |
| 108 | 738 0070 001 | 7027 S 27TH ST | LOWES HOME CENTER INC | 1,647,900 | 5,489,100 | 5,423,200 | 10,912,300 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 10,513,826 | 13.143 | PDD 10 | commercial | 13.143 | 13.143 |
| 109 | 738 0068 000 | 6955 Thru 7017 S 27TH ST | BOURAXIS PROPERTIES (RIVERWOOD PLA | 104,900 | 895,200 | 1,023,700 | 1,918,900 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 1,848,829 | 2.040 | PDD 10 | commercial | 2.040 | 2.040 |
| 110 | 738 0069 000 | 7041 S 27TH ST | RIVERWOOD VILLAGE LLC | 53,500 | 678,500 | 1,081,700 | 1,760,200 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 1,695,924 | 1.740 | PDD 10 | commercial | 1.740 | 1.740 |
| 111 | 738 9999 000 | 7071 S 27TH ST | STATE OF WISCONSIN - DEPARTMENT OF T | F O | 0 | 0 | C | Exempt | | | | 0.520 | B-4 | vacant | 0.520 | 0.520 |
| 112 | 761 9950 002 | 2735 W RAWSON AVE | CHUGHTAI SHAHID & ABIDA | 6,500 | 211,900 | 473,600 | 685,500 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 660,468 | 0.500 | B-4 | commercial | 0.500 | 0.500 |
| 113 | 761 9950 001 | 7103 S 27TH ST | FRANKLIN REALTY LLC | 9,100 | 256,800 | 257,100 | 513,900 | 2 - Commercial | 103.79% | 103.79% | 495,134 | 0.520 | B-4 | commercial | 0.520 | 0.520 |
| | | | | | | | C | | | | | | | | | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | C | | | | | | | | | 0.000 |
| | | | SUBTOTAL PERSONAL PROPERTY > | 8,362,100 | | | 1 | | • | 103.79% | 8,056,749 | NA | NA | Personal Property | NA | NA |
| | | | · | | | | | | | | 53,939,108 | 75.838 | | | 75.838 | 75.838 |
| | EHL | FRS | | | | | | | | | ESTIMATED BASE VALUE | TOTAL DISTRICT ACREAGE | - | | TOTAL COMMERCIAL ACREAGE | TOTAL MIXED USE ACREAGE |





VITH

ent

Percent of Total Amended Area Acreage

100.000%

Page 11

100.000%



No additional territory will be added to the District. Demonstration of compliance with the equalized value test is not required for this Amendment.

The following calculations demonstrate that the City is in compliance with s.66.1105(4)(gm)4.c. Wis. Stats., which requires that the equalized value of the Territory to be added to the District, plus the value increment of the District being amended, plus the value increment of all other existing tax incremental districts, does not exceed 12% of the total equalized value of taxable property within the City.

The equalized value of the Territory to be incorporated by this Amendment, plus the increment value of TID No. 3, plus the value of all other existing tax incremental districts within the City, totals \$269,318,608. This value is less than the maximum of \$422,892,708 in equalized value that is permitted for the City of Franklin. The City is therefore in compliance with the statutory equalized valuation test and may proceed with amendment of this District.

| т | <i>Tity of Franklin, W</i> Tax Increment District No. tion Test Compliance Calc | 3 | Franklin |
|--|---|---|--|
| Actual Creation Date6/21/2005Property Appreciation Factor:1.00% | Valuation Data Currently Available | Valuation Data Establishing 12% Limit Based on Anticipated Creation Date | Estimated Valuation Data Establishing Base Value |
| | ACTUAL | ESTIMATED | ESTIMATED |
| As of January 1, | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Total Equalized Value (TID IN) | 3,414,276,600 | 3,448,419,366 | <u> </u> |
| Limit for 12% Test | 409,713,192 | 413,810,324 | |
| Increment Value of Existing TID's TID No. 3 Increment TID No. 4 Increment | 64,305,700 39,050,100 | 64,948,757 39,440,601 | Percentage Increase in Base Value at Which EV Test will Fail |
| Total Existing Increment | 103,355,800 | 104,389,358 | 467.97% |
| Projected Base Value of New District Existing TID New Construction Factor | 53,939,108 | 54,478,499 | 55,023,284 |
| TOTAL VALUE SUBJECT TO TEST/LIMIT | 157,294,908 | 158,867,857 | 159,412,642 |
| COMPLIANCE | PASS | PASS | PASS |
| | SCENARIO | WITH Major De | velopment |



7

STATEMENT OF KIND, NUMBER AND LOCATION OF PROPOSED PUBLIC WORKS AND OTHER PROJECTS

The following is a list of public works and other TIF-eligible projects that the City has implemented, or expects to implement, within the original District or within the Territory to be incorporated by this Amendment. Any costs directly or indirectly related to the public works and other projects are considered "Project Costs" and eligible to be paid with tax increment revenues of the District.

PROPERTY, RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENT ACQUISITION

- ACQUISITION OF RIGHTS-OF-WAY. The City may need to acquire property to allow for installation of streets, driveways, sidewalks, utilities, stormwater management practices and other public infrastructure. Costs incurred by the City to identify, negotiate and acquire rights-of-way are eligible Project Costs.
- ACQUISITION OF EASEMENTS. The City may need to acquire temporary or permanent easements to allow for installation and maintenance of streets, driveways, sidewalks, utilities, stormwater management practices and other public infrastructure. Costs incurred by the City to identify, negotiate and acquire easement rights are eligible Project Costs.
- RELOCATION COSTS. If relocation expenses are incurred in conjunction with the acquisition of property, those expenses are eligible Project Costs. These costs may include, but are not limited to: preparation of a relocation plan; allocations of staff time; legal fees; publication of notices; obtaining appraisals; and payment of relocation benefits as required by Wisconsin Statutes Sections 32.19 and 32.195.

SITE PREPARATION ACTIVITIES

- ENVIRONMENTAL AUDITS AND REMEDIATION. There have been no known environmental studies performed within the proposed District. If, however, it becomes necessary to evaluate any land or improvement within the District, any cost incurred by the City related to environmental audits, testing, and remediation are eligible Project Costs.
- DEMOLITION. In order to make sites suitable for development and/or redevelopment, the City may incur costs related to demolition and removal of structures or other land improvements, to include abandonment of wells or other existing utility services.
- SITE GRADING. Land within the District may require grading to make it suitable for development and/or redevelopment, to provide access, and to control stormwater runoff. The City may need to remove and dispose of excess material, or bring in fill material to provide for proper site elevations. Expenses incurred by the City for site grading are eligible Project Costs.



UTILITIES

- SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS. To allow development and/or redevelopment to occur, the City may construct, alter, rebuild or expand sanitary sewer infrastructure within the District. Eligible Project Costs include, but are not limited to, construction, alteration, rebuilding or expansion of: collection mains; manholes and cleanouts; service laterals; force mains; interceptor sewers; pumping stations; lift stations; and all related appurtenances. To the extent sanitary sewer projects undertaken within the District provide direct benefit to land outside of the District, the City will make an allocation of costs based on such benefit. Those costs corresponding to the benefit allocated to land within the District, and necessitated by the implementation of the Project Plan, are eligible Project Costs. Implementation of the Project Plan may also require that the City construct, alter, rebuild or expand sanitary sewer infrastructure located outside of the District. That portion of the costs of sanitary sewer system projects undertaken outside the District which are necessitated by the implementation of the Project Costs.
- WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS. To allow development and/or redevelopment to occur, the City may construct, alter, rebuild or expand water system infrastructure within the District. Eligible Project Costs include, but are not limited to, construction, alteration, rebuilding or expansion of: distribution mains; manholes and valves; hydrants; service laterals; pumping stations; wells; water treatment facilities; storage tanks and reservoirs; and all related appurtenances. To the extent water system projects undertaken within the District provide direct benefit to land outside of the District, the City will make an allocation of costs based on such benefit. Those costs corresponding to the benefit allocated to land within the District, and necessitated by the implementation of the Project Plan, are eligible Project Costs. Implementation of the Project Plan may also require that the City construct, alter, rebuild or expand water system projects undertaken outside of the District. That portion of the costs of water system projects undertaken outside the District which are necessitated by the implementation of the Project Costs.
- > STORMWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS. Development and/or redevelopment within the District will cause stormwater runoff and pollution. To manage this stormwater runoff, the City may construct, alter, rebuild or expand stormwater management infrastructure within the District. Eligible Project Costs include, but are not limited to, construction, alteration, rebuilding or expansion of: stormwater collection mains; inlets, manholes and valves; service laterals; ditches; culvert pipes; box culverts; and infiltration, filtration and detention Best Management Practices (BMP's). To the extent stormwater management system projects undertaken within the District provide direct benefit to land outside of the District, the City will make an allocation of costs based on such benefit. Those costs corresponding to the benefit allocated to land within the District, and necessitated by the implementation of the Project Plan, are eligible Project Costs. Implementation of the Project Plan may also require that the City construct, alter, rebuild or expand stormwater management infrastructure located outside of the District. That portion of the costs of stormwater management system projects undertaken outside the District which are necessitated by the implementation of the Project Plan are eligible Project Costs.

STREETS AND STREETSCAPE

STREET IMPROVEMENTS. There are inadequate street improvements serving areas of the District. To allow development and/or redevelopment to occur, the City may need to construct and/or reconstruct streets, alleys, access drives and parking areas. Eligible



Project Costs include, but are not limited to: excavation; removal or placement of fill; construction of road base; asphalt or concrete paving or repaving; installation of curb and gutter; installation of sidewalks and bicycle lanes; installation of culverts, box culverts and bridges; rail crossings and signals; utility relocation, to include burying overhead utility lines; street lighting; installation of traffic control signage and traffic signals; pavement marking; right-of-way restoration; installation of retaining walls; and installation of fences, berms, and landscaping.

STREETSCAPING AND LANDSCAPING. In order to attract development and/or redevelopment consistent with the objectives of this Plan, the City may install amenities to enhance development sites, rights-of-way and other public spaces. These amenities include, but are not limited to: landscaping; lighting of streets, sidewalks, parking areas and public areas; installation of planters, benches, clocks, tree rings, trash receptacles and similar items; and installation of brick or other decorative walks, terraces and street crossings. These and any other similar amenities installed by the City are eligible Project Costs.

CDA TYPE ACTIVITES

CONTRIBUTION TO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY. As provided for in Wisconsin Statues Sections 66.1105(2)(f)1.h and 66.1333(13), the City may provide funds to its CDA to be used for administration, planning operations, and capital costs, including but not limited to real property acquisition, related to the purposes for which it was established in furtherance of any redevelopment or urban renewal project. Funds provided to the CDA for this purpose are eligible Project Costs.

MISCELLANEOUS

- CASH GRANTS (DEVELOPMENT INCENTIVES). The City may enter into agreements with property owners, lessees, or developers of land located within the District for the purpose of sharing costs to encourage the desired kind of improvements and assure tax base is generated sufficient to recover Project Costs. No cash grants will be provided until the City executes a developer agreement with the recipient of the cash grant. Any payments of cash grants made by the City are eligible Project Costs.
- PROJECTS OUTSIDE THE TAX INCREMENT DISTRICT. Pursuant to Wisconsin Statutes Section 66.1105(2)(f)1.n, the City may undertake projects within territory located within onehalf mile of the boundary of the District provided that: 1) the project area is located within the City's corporate boundaries and 2) the projects are approved by the Joint Review Board. The cost of projects completed outside the District pursuant to this section are eligible project costs, and may include any project cost that would otherwise be eligible if undertaken within the District. The City intends to make the following project cost expenditures outside the District: Street and Utility improvements along 27th Street between Sycamore and Collage Avenue.
- PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AND ORGANIZATIONAL COSTS. The costs of professional services rendered, and other costs incurred, in relation to the creation, administration and termination of the District, and the undertaking of the projects contained within this Plan, are eligible Project Costs. Professional services include, but are not limited to: architectural; environmental; planning; engineering; legal, audit; financial; and the costs of informing the public with respect to the creation of the District and the implementation of the Plan.



- ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS. The City may charge to the District as eligible Project Costs reasonable allocations of administrative costs, including, but not limited to, employee salaries. Costs allocated will bear a direct connection to the time spent by City employees in connection with the implementation of the Plan.
- FINANCING COSTS. Interest expense, debt issuance expenses, redemption premiums, and any other fees and costs incurred in conjunction with obtaining financing for projects undertaken under this Plan are eligible Project Costs.

With all projects the costs of engineering, design, survey, inspection, materials, construction, restoring property to its original condition, site preparation, legal and other consultant fees, testing, environmental studies, permits, updating City ordinances and plans, judgments or claims for damages, and other expenses are included as Project Costs.

In the event any of the public works project expenditures are not reimbursable out of the special tax increment finance fund under Wisconsin Statute Section 66.1105, in the written opinion of counsel retained by the City for such purpose or a court of record so rules in a final order, then such project or projects shall be deleted herefrom and the remainder of the projects hereunder shall be deemed the entirety of the projects for purposes of this Project Plan Amendment.

The City reserves the right to implement only those projects that remain viable as the Plan period proceeds.

Project Costs are any expenditures made, estimated to be made, or monetary obligations incurred or estimated to be incurred, by the City and as outlined in this Plan or the original Project Plan. To the extent the costs benefit the City outside the District, a proportionate share of the cost is not a Project Cost. Costs identified in this Plan are preliminary estimates made prior to design considerations and are subject to change after planning is completed. Proration of costs in the Plan are also estimates and subject to change based upon implementation, future assessment policies and user fee adjustments. Project Costs will be diminished by any income, special assessments or other revenues, including user fees or charges, other than tax increments, received or reasonably expected to be received by the City in connection with the implementation of this Plan.



8

MAP SHOWING PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS AND USES WITHIN THE TERRITORY TO BE ADDED



TIF #3 Expansion w/Land Use



9 DETAILED LIST OF ADDITIONAL AND/OR UPDATED PROJECT COSTS

This Section contains information relative to the specific projects and expenditures that the City anticipates it will undertake or make within the Territory to be incorporated into the District by this Amendment. As part of this Amendment, the City is also adding project cost estimates for development incentives that may be provided to promote development and/or redevelopment within the amended or the original District area. Details with respect to the added projects can also be found within this Section.

All costs are based on 2013 prices and are preliminary estimates. The City reserves the right to increase these costs to reflect inflationary increases and other uncontrollable circumstances between 2013 and the time of construction. The City also reserves the right to increase certain Project Costs to the extent others are reduced or not implemented without amending the Plan. The tax increment allocation is preliminary and is subject to adjustment based upon the implementation of the Plan.

This Plan is not meant to be a budget, nor an appropriation of funds for specific projects, but a framework within which to manage projects. All costs included in the Plan are estimates based on best information available. The City retains the right to delete projects or change the scope and/or timing of projects implemented as they are individually authorized by the Common Council, without further amending this Plan.



PROPOSED TIF PROJECT COST ESTIMATES





O ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY STUDY & A DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS OF FINANCING AND THE TIME WHEN SUCH COSTS OR MONETARY OBLIGATIONS RELATED THERETO ARE TO BE INCURRED

The information and exhibits contained within this Section demonstrate that the District, as proposed to be amended by the addition of territory, will remain economically feasible insofar as:

- The City has available to it the means to secure the necessary financing required to accomplish the remaining projects contained within this Plan. A listing of "Available Financing Methods" follows.
- The City expects to complete the remaining projects in one or multiple phases, and can adjust the timing of implementation as needed to coincide with the pace of private development and/or redevelopment. A discussion of the phasing and projected timeline for project completion is discussed under "Plan Implementation" within this Section. A table identifying the financing method for each phase and the time at which that financing is expected to be incurred is included.
- The development anticipated to occur as a result of the continued implementation of this Plan will generate sufficient tax increments to pay for the cost of the projects. Within this Section are tables identifying: 1) the development and/or redevelopment expected to occur, 2) an updated projection of tax increments to be collected resulting from that development and/or redevelopment and other economic growth within the District, and 3) an updated cash flow model demonstrating that the projected tax increment collections and all other revenues available to the District will be sufficient to pay all Project Costs.

AVAILABLE FINANCING METHODS

Implementation of this Plan may require that the City issue debt obligations to provide direct or indirect financing for the Projects to be undertaken. The following is a list of the types of obligations the City may choose to utilize.

General Obligation (G.O.) Bonds or Notes

The City may issue G.O. Bonds or Notes to finance the cost of Projects included within this Plan. Wisconsin Statutes limit the principal amount of G.O. debt that a community may have outstanding at any point in time to an amount not greater than five percent of its total equalized value (including increment values). The tables on page 22 provide a calculation of the City's current and projected G.O. debt capacity. Tables 1 and 2 project, respectively, the City's equalized value, and the full faith and credit borrowing capacity of the City. Equalized valuation projections were made using two methods. The first projects future valuation of the City using the average annual percentage of valuation growth experienced between 2008 and 2012. This method is identified as the percentage method. The second method projects the future valuation based upon the average annual value change between 2008 and 2012. This method is identified as the straight-line method. Table 2 projects the G.O. borrowing capacity of the City utilizing the straight-line valuation projection and considering the existing debt of the City,



demonstrating that the City will have sufficient G.O. debt capacity during the implementation period of the District to finance projects using this method if it chooses.

Bonds Issued to Developers ("Pay as You Go" Financing)

The City may issue a bond or other obligation to one or more developers who provide financing for projects included in this Plan. Repayment of the amounts due to the developer under the bonds or other obligations are limited to an agreed percentage of the available annual tax increments collected that result from the improvements made by the developer. To the extent the tax increments collected are insufficient to make annual payments, or to repay the entire obligation over the life of the District, the City's obligation is limited to not more than the agreed percentage of the actual increments collected. Bonds or other obligations issued to developers in this fashion are not general obligations of the City and, therefore, do not count against the City's statutory borrowing capacity.

Tax Increment Revenue Bonds

The City has the authority to issue revenue bonds secured by the tax increments to be collected. These bonds may be issued directly by the City, or as a form of lease revenue bond by its Community Development Authority (CDA). Tax Increment Revenue Bonds and Lease Revenue Bonds are not general obligations of the City and therefore do not count against the City's statutory borrowing capacity. To the extent tax increments collected are insufficient to meet the annual debt service requirements of the revenue bonds, the City may be subject to either a permissive or mandatory requirement to appropriate on an annual basis a sum equal to the actual or projected shortfall.

Utility Revenue Bonds

The City can issue revenue bonds to be repaid from revenues of its various utility systems, including revenues paid by the City that represent service of the system to the City. There is neither a statutory nor constitutional limitation on the amount of revenue bonds that can be issued, however, water rates are controlled by the Wisconsin Public Service Commission and the City must demonstrate to bond purchasers its ability to repay revenue debt with the assigned rates. To the extent the Cityutilizes utility revenues other than tax increments to repay a portion of the bonds, the City must reduce the total eligible Project Costs in an equal amount.

Special Assessment "B" Bonds

The City has the ability to levy special assessments against benefited properties to pay part of the costs for street, curb, gutter, sewer, water, storm sewers and other infrastructure. In the event the City determines that special assessments are appropriate, the City can issue Special Assessment B bonds pledging revenues from special assessment installments to the extent assessment payments are outstanding. These bonds are not counted against the City's statutory borrowing capacity. If special assessments are levied, the City must reduce the total eligible Project Costs under this Plan in an amount equal to the total collected.



EQUALIZED VALUATION PROJECTION

| G Fra | anklin Projectio | City of Franklin | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | | SCEN | ARIO WITH Maj |
| Table 1 - Pro | jection of Growth in Equa | lized Value (TID IN) | | lopment |
| | PERCENTAGE | METHOD | | |
| | HISTOR | ICAL DATA | | |
| 2009 | 3,912,642,600 | | | |
| 2010 | 3,670,508,700 | | | |
| 2010 | 3,676,379,700 | | | |
| 2011 | 3,524,105,900 | | | |
| 2013 | 3,414,276,600 | | | |
| | PROJECTED VA | JUATIONS | | |
| 2014 | | | | |
| 2014 | 3,448,419,366 | 1.00% | | |
| 2015 | 3,482,903,560 | 1.00% | | |
| 2016 | 3,517,732,595 | 1.00% | | |
| 2017 | 3,552,909,921 | 1.00% | | |
| 2018 | 3,588,439,020 | 1.00% | | |
| 2019 | 3,624,323,411 | 1.00% | | |
| 2020 | 3,660,566,645 | 1.00% | | |
| 2021 | 3,697,172,311 | 1.00% | | |
| | | | | |
| 2022 | 3,734,144,034 | 1.00% | nnuallu) | |
| Table 2 - Pro BUDGET | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capad EQUALIZED | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT | DEBT | NET BORROWING CAPACITY |
| Table 2 - Pro | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capa | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a | | |
| Table 2 - Pro BUDGET | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capad EQUALIZED | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT | DEBT | BORROWING |
| Table 2 - Pro BUDGET <u>YEAR</u> | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capar EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT <u>LIMIT</u> 170,713,830 | DEBT <u>BALANCE</u> | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> |
| Table 2 - Pro BUDGET <u>YEAR</u> 2014 | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capad EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 | DEBT <u>BALANCE</u> 28,690,843 27,157,503 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 |
| BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 | DEBT <u>BALANCE</u> 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 | 3,734,144,034 ejection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 |
| BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 | 3,734,144,034 Djection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 |
| BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 | 3,734,144,034 ojection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 | 3,734,144,034 Djection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 |
| BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 |
| BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 |
| Cable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 | 3,734,144,034 ejection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 |
| Cable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 | 3,734,144,034 spection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 185,238,361 |
| Cable 2 - Pro BUDGET 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 | 3,734,144,034 spection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 185,238,361 188,518,786 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 | 3,734,144,034 spection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 185,238,361 188,518,786 191,851,850 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 2,954,887 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 185,238,361 188,518,786 191,851,850 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 4,003,507,829 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 200,175,391 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 188,518,786 191,851,850 195,238,570 198,679,982 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED VALUE 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 4,003,507,829 4,043,542,907 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 200,175,391 202,177,145 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 2,954,887 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 185,238,361 188,518,786 191,851,850 195,238,570 198,679,982 202,177,145 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 4,003,507,829 4,043,542,907 4,083,978,336 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 200,175,391 202,177,145 204,198,917 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 2,954,887 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 188,518,786 191,851,850 195,238,570 198,679,982 202,177,145 204,198,917 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 4,003,507,829 4,043,542,907 4,083,978,336 4,124,818,120 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 200,175,391 202,177,145 204,198,917 206,240,906 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 2,954,887 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 188,518,786 191,851,850 195,238,570 198,679,982 202,177,145 204,198,917 206,240,906 |
| Fable 2 - Pro BUDGET YEAR 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 | 3,734,144,034 bjection of G.O. Debt Capac EQUALIZED <u>VALUE</u> 3,414,276,600 3,448,419,366 3,482,903,560 3,517,732,595 3,552,909,921 3,588,439,020 3,624,323,411 3,660,566,645 3,697,172,311 3,734,144,034 3,771,485,475 3,809,200,329 3,847,292,333 3,885,765,256 3,924,622,909 3,963,869,138 4,003,507,829 4,043,542,907 4,083,978,336 | 1.00% city (Estimated Growth at 1% a GROSS DEBT LIMIT 170,713,830 172,420,968 174,145,178 175,886,630 177,645,496 179,421,951 181,216,171 183,028,332 184,858,616 186,707,202 188,574,274 190,460,016 192,364,617 194,288,263 196,231,145 198,193,457 200,175,391 202,177,145 204,198,917 | DEBT BALANCE 28,690,843 27,157,503 24,919,216 22,665,365 20,395,323 18,078,444 15,794,067 13,436,515 12,235,096 11,004,097 9,742,791 8,450,432 7,126,255 5,769,477 4,379,295 2,954,887 | BORROWING <u>CAPACITY</u> 142,022,987 145,263,465 149,225,962 153,221,265 157,250,173 161,343,508 165,422,104 169,591,817 172,623,520 175,703,105 178,831,482 182,009,584 188,518,786 191,851,850 195,238,570 198,679,982 202,177,145 204,198,917 |



PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

Projects identified will provide the necessary anticipated governmental services and development incentives to the areas within the original District and the additional territory. It is anticipated these expenditures will be made between 2015 and 2019. However, public debt and expenditures should be made at the pace private development and/or redevelopment occurs to assure increment is sufficient to cover expenses. The order in which expenditures are made should be adjusted in accordance with development and execution of developer agreements. The City reserves the right to alter the implementation of this Plan to accomplish this objective. In any event, all additional Project Costs are to be incurred within the period specified in Section 66.1105(6)(am) of the Wisconsin Statutes.

It is anticipated developer agreements between the City and property owners will be in place prior to major public expenditures. These agreements can provide for development guarantees or a payment in lieu of development. To further assure contract enforcement these agreements might include levying of special assessments against benefited properties.

The order in which expenditures are made should be adjusted in accordance with development and execution of developer agreements. The City reserves the right to alter the implementation of this Plan to accomplish this objective.

It is anticipated that street and utility improvements will be financed with the cash flow from the TIF District. Interest rates projected on debt financed projected are based on current market conditions. Municipal interest rates are subject to constantly changing market conditions. In addition, other factors such as the loss of tax-exempt status of municipal bonds or broadening the purpose of future tax-exempt bonds would affect market conditions. Actual interest expense will be determined once the methods of financing have been approved and securities or other obligations are issued.

If financing as outlined in this Plan proves unworkable, the City reserves the right to use alternate financing solutions for the projects as they are implemented.



IMPLEMENTATION & FINANCING TIMELINE

| Eren kilin | INR (in, WI District No. 3 sts & Financing Plan | EHLERS IN PUBLIC FINAN | |
|---|--|------------------------|---------------|
| SCENARIO WITH Major | Bank Note | Bank Note | Total Project |
| Development | | | Costs |
| | 2015/Phase I | 2019/Phase IV | All Phases |
| Projects | | | |
| Development Incentive Major Development | 5,000,000 | | 5,000,000 |
| Development Incentive Major Development | | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 |
| Subtotal Needed for Projects | 5,000,000 | 5,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
| Finance Related Expenses | | | |
| Financial Advisor | 24,955 | 24,955 | |
| Bond Counsel (Estimate) | 7,500 | 7,500 | |
| Rating Agency Fee | 12,500 | 12,500 | |
| Paying Agent (if Term Bonds) | 675 | 675 | |
| Max. Underwriter's Discount \$10.00 | 51,000 | \$10.00 51,000 | |
| Capitalized Interest | | | |
| Total Financing Required | 5,096,630 | 5,096,630 | |
| Estimated Interest Earnings 0.25% | (521) | 0.25% (521) | |
| Assumed Spend Down (Months) 2 | | 2 | |
| Rounding | 3,891 | 3,891 | |
| NET ISSUE SIZE | 5,100,000 | 5,100,000 | |



DEVELOPMENT ASSUMPTIONS

| | Frankl | IN | | ement District N pment Assumpti | | | EHLER LEADERS IN PUBLIC FINA | |
|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Construction Year | Actual | Franklin Oaks | 31st Office Bldg | Land N of NML | 27th Street | Major Development | Amended Area | Annual Total |
| 2005 | 12,921,100 | | | | | | | 12,921,10 |
| 2006 | 11,983,300 | | | | | | | 11,983,30 |
| 2007 | 49,978,100 | | | | | | | 49,978,10 |
| 2008 | 31,019,800 | | | | | | | 31,019,80 |
| 2009 | (44,467,600) | | | | | | | (44,467,60 |
| 2010 | 11,217,900 | | | | | | | 11,217,90 |
| 2011 | (8,734,800) | | | | | | | (8,734,80 |
| 2012 | 387,900 | | | | | | | 387,90 |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | | | | | | | 500,000 | 500,00 |
| 2015 | | | 1,000,000 | | | 39,000,000 | | 40,000,00 |
| 2016 | | 2,000,000 | | | | 12,000,000 | | 14,000,00 |
| 2017 | | | | | 1,000,000 | | | 1,000,00 |
| 2018 | | 2,000,000 | 1,000,000 | | | | | 3,000,00 |
| 2019 | | | | | | 39,000,000 | | 39,000,00 |
| 2020 | | 2,000,000 | | | | 12,000,000 | | 14,000,00 |
| 2021 | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 | | 1,000,000 | | 12,000,000 | | | 6,800,000 | 19,800,00 |
| 2023 | | | | | | | | |
| 2024 | | | | | | | | |
| TOTALS | 64,305,700 | 7,000,000 | 2,000,000 | 12,000,000 | 1,000,000 | 102,000,000 | 7,300,000 | 195,605,70 |
| OTES: Amended Are | ea includes Gordon Foo | ds service in 2014 and Ash | elv Furniture in 2022 | | | | | |



INCREMENT REVENUE PROJECTIONS





City of Franklin, WI Tax Increment District No. 3

Cash Flow Pro Forma



| | | | | Revenue | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | Expend | ditures | | | | | | | | | Bala | nces | | |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|---|-----------|------------|--|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------|--|-----------|
| Year | Tax Increments | Investment Earnings | Computer Aid | Developer Loan Repayment | TEA Grant | Grants & Debt Proceeds | Total Revenues | GO N \$7,000 8/15/ | 0,000 | GO No \$10,000 8/26/2 |),000 | Interfund / \$4,600 Vario | 000 | Interfund \$3,35 Vari | 0,000 | Taxable G(\$10,000 1/1/20 | ,000 | Tax | able GO Note \$5,100,000 3/1/2015 | es | | able GO Notes \$5,100,000 3/1/2019 | Project Costs | Admin Expense | Fees 2007 Note | Total Expenditures | Annual | Cumulative | Note Outstanting Note Princip Outstant | al |
| | | 3.00% | | | | | | Prin (3/1) | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Est. Rate | Interest | Prin (3/1) | Est. Rate Inter | st | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | | 60,525 | | | | 3,905,571 | 3,966,096 | | 66,036 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 906,440 | 61,554 | | 1,034,030 | 2,932,066 | 2,932,066 | 3,905, | 571 2005 |
| 2006 | | 114,349 | | | | 94,429 | 208,778 | | 179,643 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,021,091 | 70,527 | | 2,271,261 | (2,062,483) | 869,583 | 0 4,000, | 2006 2006 |
| 2007 | 251,402 | 102,647 | 317,482 | 130,950 | 1,000,000 | 15,700,000 | 17,502,481 | | 295,926 | | | | 70,021 | | | | 327,250 | | | | | | 17,280,607 | 75,136 | 331 | 18,049,271 | (546,790) | 322,793 | 10,000,000 9,700, | 2007 |
| 2008 | 504,283 | 14,311 | 304,643 | 789,625 | | 11,137,628 | 12,750,490 | 6,300,000 | 216,253 | | | 3,200,000 | 65,620 | | | 600,000 | 480,150 | | | | | | 2,087,552 | 46,955 | 363 | 12,996,893 | (246,403) | 76,390 | 9,400,000 11,300, | 2008 |
| 2009 | 1,535,719 | 6,159 | 353,993 | 792,679 | | 800,000 | 3,488,550 | 700,000 | 5,311 | 200,000 | 334,118 | 600,000 | 15,127 | | | 615,000 | 450,079 | | | | | | 615,003 | 29,202 | 363 | 3,564,203 | (75,653) | 737 | 8,785,000 10,600, | 2009 2009 |
| 2010 | 2,295,166 | 7,725 | 326,765 | 790,589 | | | 3,420,245 | | | 800,000 | 314,500 | 800,000 | 1,841 | | | 625,000 | 419,389 | | | | | | 105,028 | 11,564 | 363 | 3,077,685 | 342,560 | 343,297 | 8,160,000 9,000, | 2010 |
| 2011 | 1,407,207 | 10,971 | 333,786 | 793,255 | | | 2,545,219 | | | 1,000,000 | 287,500 | | | | | 640,000 | 388,080 | | | | | | 9,683 | 9,986 | 363 | 2,335,612 | 209,607 | 552,904 | 7,520,000 8,000, | |
| 2012 | 1,650,483 | 5,128 | 282,085 | 795,629 | | | 2,733,325 | | | 2,000,000 | 240,000 | | | | | 655,000 | 356,029 | | | | | | 25,360 | 12,116 | 363 | 3,288,868 | (555,543) | (2,639) | 6,865,000 6,000, | |
| 2013 | 1,547,835 | (79) | 267,981 | 797,710 | | 1,150,000 | 3,763,447 | | | 2,500,000 | 165,000 | | | | 34,500 | 670,000 | 323,235 | | | | | | 11,000 | 29,000 | 363 | 3,733,098 | 30,349 | 27,710 | 6,195,000 4,650, | |
| 2014 | 1,541,656 | 831 | | 6,255,776 | | 2,200,000 | 10,252,846 | | | 3,500,000 | 61,250 | | | | 110,500 | 6,195,000 | 153,326 | | | | | | 11,000 | 29,000 | 363 | 10,060,439 | 192,407 | 220,117 | 0 3,350, | |
| 2015 | 1,526,240 | 6,604 | 241,853 | | | 5,000,000 | 6,774,696 | | | | | | | 1,650,000 | 75,750 | | | | | 102,000 | | | 5,000,000 | 29,000 | | 6,856,750 | (82,054) | 138,063 | 0 6,800, | |
| 2016 | 1,522,726 | 4,142 | · · · · · | | | | 1,756,628 | | | | | | | 1,150,000 | 33,750 | | | | 4.000% | 204,000 | | | 500,000 | 29,000 | | 1,916,750 | (160,122) | (22,059) | 0 5,650, | |
| 2017 | 2,465,480 | 0 | 218,272 | | | | 2,683,752 | | | | | | | 500,000 | 9,000 | | | 350,000 | 4.000% | 197,000 | | | 1,480,528 | 29,000 | | 2,565,528 | 118,224 | 96,165 | 0 4,800, | |
| 2018 | 2,795,210 | 2,885 | 207,358 | | | | 3,005,453 | | | | | | | 50,000 | 750 | | | 400,000 | 4.000% | 182,000 | | | 1,480,528 | 29,000 | | 2,142,278 | 863,175 | 959,340 | 0 4,350, | |
| 2019 | 2,823,466 | 28,780 | 196,990 | | | 5,000,000 | 8,049,237 | | | | | | | | | | | 450,000 | 4.000% | 165,000 | | 127, | | | | 5,742,500 | 2,306,737 | 3,266,076 | 0 9,000, | |
| 2020 | 2,929,653 | 97,982 | 187,141 | | | | 3,214,776 | | | | | | | | | | | 500,000 | 4.000% | 146,000 | 500,000 | 5.000% 242, | | | | 1,388,500 | 1,826,276 | 5,092,352 | 0 8,000, | |
| 2021 | 3,839,191 | 152,771 | 177,784 | | | | 4,169,746 | | | | | | | | | | | 550,000 | 4.000% | 125,000 | 600,000 | 5.000% 215, | | | | 1,490,000 | 2,679,746 | 7,772,098 | 0 6,850, | |
| 2022 | 4,186,497 | 233,163 | | | | | 4,419,660 | | | | | | | | | | | 600,000 | 4.000% | 102,000 | 700,000 | 5.000% 182, | | | | 1,584,500 | 2,835,160 | 10,607,258 | 0 5,550, | |
| 2023 | 4,223,683 | 318,218 | | | | | 4,541,901 | | | | | | | | | | | 650,000 | 4.000% | 77,000 | 750,000 | 5.000% 146, | | | | 1,623,250 | 2,918,651 | 13,525,908 | 0 4,150, | |
| 2024 | 4,689,784 | 405,777 | | | | | 5,095,561 | | | | | | | | | | | 750,000 | 4.000% | 49,000 | 800,000 | 5.000% 107, | | | | 1,706,500 | 3,389,061 | 16,914,969 | 0 2,600, | |
| 2025 | 4,726,171 | 507,449 | | | | | 5,233,621 | | | | | | | | | | | 850,000 | 4.000% | 17,000 | 850,000 | 5.000% 66, | | | | 1,783,250 | 3,450,371 | 20,365,340 | 0 900, | |
| 2026 | 4,762,187 | 610,960 | | | | | 5,373,147 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 900,000 | 5.000% 22, | 00 | | | 922,500 | 4,450,647 | 24,815,987 | 0 | 0 2026 |
| Total | 51 224 040 | 2 691 298 | 3,900,474 | 11 146 213 | | 44,987,628 | 114,949,653 | 7,000,000 | 763,169 | 10,000,000 | 1 402 368 | 4,600,000 | 152 609 | 3,350,000 | 264 250 | 10,000,000 | 2 897 538 | 5 100 000 | | 1,366,000 | 5 100 000 | 1,110, | 00 36,533,820 | 491,040 | 2.872 | 90,133,666 | 24,815,987 | | | |
| rotal | 31/224/040 | 2,051,250 | 0,000,474 | 11,170,213 | | 11,537,020 | 11,545,055 | 1,000,000 | ,03,105 | 10,000,000 | 1,102,000 | 1,000,000 | 132,005 | 3,333,000 | 203/200 | 10,000,000 | 2,007,000 | 3/103/000 | | 1,000,000 | 3/203/000 | 1,110, | 00 00,000,020 | 101,040 | 2,012 | 55,155,000 | 24,020,007 | | | |
| NOTES: Co. | h Classes of De | | - 2017 and 20 | 10 f 1141 | iter and Street | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | Projected TID Closure | |
| NUTES: Cas | n Financed Pr | oject costs ir | 12017 and 20 | 18 are for Uti | ity and street | scaping | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | riojecteu no ciosure | |

2017 costs are for area along 27th Street within current TID (Rawson to Drexel) 2018 Costs are for area along 27th Street outside original TID (College to Rawson), amended area and with 1/2 mile raduis of amended area.





SCENARIO WITH Major Development



There are no lands within the Territory proposed to be included within the District by Amendment that were annexed by the City on or after January 1, 2004.



The City estimates that less than 35% of the territory within the District, as amended, will be devoted to retail business at the end of the District's maximum expenditure period. This finding is made to fulfill the reporting requirement as contained in Sections 66.1105(5)(b) and 66.1105(6)(am)1 of the Wisconsin Statutes.



The City does not anticipate the need to change any of its zoning ordinances in conjunction with the implementation of this Amended Project Plan. If, during the remaining life of the District, the City finds that changes may be necessary to allow for development and/or redevelopment to occur, the City will address any possible changes at the appropriate time



PROPOSED CHANGES IN MASTER PLAN, MAP, BUILDING CODES AND CITY OF FRANKLIN ORDINANCES

It is expected that this Plan will be complementary to the City's Master Plan. There are no proposed changes to the Master Plan, map, building codes or other City ordinances for the implementation of this Plan.





It is not anticipated there will be a need to relocate persons or businesses in conjunction with this Plan. In the event relocation or the acquisition of property by eminent domain becomes necessary at some time during the implementation period, the City will follow applicable state statutes as required in Wisconsin Statutes chapter 32.



ORDERLY DEVELOPMENT AND/OR REDEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY OF FRANKLIN

This amendment contributes to the orderly development and/or redevelopment of the City by providing the opportunity for continued growth in tax base, job opportunities and general economic activity.



A LIST OF ESTIMATED NON-PROJECT COSTS

Non-Project Costs are public works projects that only partly benefit the District or are not eligible to be paid with tax increments, or costs not eligible to be paid with TIF funds. Examples would include:

- A public improvement made within the District that also benefits property outside the District. That portion of the total Project Costs allocable to properties outside of the District would be a non-project cost.
- A public improvement made outside the District that only partially benefits property within the District. That portion of the total Project Costs allocable to properties outside of the District would be a non-project cost.
- Projects undertaken within the District as part of the implementation of this Project Plan, the costs of which are paid fully or in part by impact fees, grants, special assessments, or revenues other than tax increments.

The City does not expect to incur any non-Project Costs in the implementation of this Project Plan.





OPINION OF ATTORNEY FOR THE CITY OF FRANKLIN ADVISING WHETHER THE PLAN IS COMPLETE AND COMPLIES WITH WISCONSIN STATUTES, SECTION 66.1105

WESOLOWSKI, REIDENBACH & SAJDAK, S.C. Attorneys at Law 11402 West Church Street Franklin, Wisconsin 53132

JESSE A. WESOLOWSKI FREDERICK E. REIDENBACH 1919-2002 BRIAN C. SAJDAK TELEPHONE (414) 529-8900 FACSIMILE (414) 529-2121

JANE C. KASSIS, LEGAL SECRETARY

August 22, 2013

Mayor Thomas M. Taylor City of Franklin 9229 West Loomis Road Franklin, Wisconsin 53132

re: City of Franklin, Wisconsin Tax Incremental District No. 3 Amendment

Dear Mayor Taylor:

As City Attorney for the City of Franklin, I have reviewed the Project Plan and, in my opinion, have determined that it is complete and complies with Section 66.1105 of the Wisconsin Statutes. This opinion is provided pursuant to Section 66.1105(4)(f), Wis. Stat.

Cordially

Jesse A. Wesolowski City Attorney City of Franklin



EXHIBIT A - CALCULATION OF THE SHARE OF PROJECTED TAX **INCREMENTS ESTIMATED TO BE PAID BY THE OWNERS OF PROPERTY** IN THE OVERLYING TAXING JURISDICTIONS

Estimated Share by Taxing Jurisdiction of Projected Tax Increments to be paid by Owners of Taxable Property in each of the Taxing Jurisdictions Overlying the Tax Increment District

| Revenue Year | City | County | MMSD | School Districts | Tech College | Total |
|-----------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 24.82% | 20.50% | 6.76% | 39.14% | 8.78% | |
| 2007 | 62,402 | 51,531 | 16,987 | 98,398 | 22,084 | 251,402 |
| 2008 | 125,172 | 103,366 | 34,074 | 197,374 | 44,298 | 504,283 |
| 2009 | 381,193 | 314,785 | 103,767 | 601,073 | 134,901 | 1,535,719 |
| 2010 | 569,701 | 470,453 | 155,082 | 898,317 | 201,613 | 2,295,166 |
| 2011 | 349,294 | 288,443 | 95,084 | 550,774 | 123,613 | 1,407,207 |
| 2012 | 409,679 | 338,309 | 111,521 | 645,991 | 144,983 | 1,650,483 |
| 2013 | 384,200 | 317,269 | 104,586 | 605,815 | 135,966 | 1,547,835 |
| 2014 | 382,666 | 316,002 | 104,168 | 603,397 | 135,423 | 1,541,656 |
| 2015 | 378,840 | 312,842 | 103,126 | 597,363 | 134,069 | 1,526,240 |
| 2016 | 377,967 | 312,122 | 102,889 | 595,987 | 133,760 | 1,522,726 |
| 2017 | 611,976 | 505,364 | 166,590 | 964,977 | 216,574 | 2,465,480 |
| 2018 | 693,820 | 572,950 | 188,870 | 1,094,031 | 245,538 | 2,795,210 |
| 2019 | 700,834 | 578,742 | 190,779 | 1,105,091 | 248,020 | 2,823,466 |
| 2020 | 727,191 | 600,508 | 197,954 | 1,146,652 | 257,348 | 2,929,653 |
| 2021 | 952,955 | 786,941 | 259,410 | 1,502,641 | 337,244 | 3,839,191 |
| 2022 | 1,039,162 | 858,130 | 282,877 | 1,638,574 | 367,753 | 4,186,497 |
| 2023 | 1,048,393 | 865,752 | 285,390 | 1,653,129 | 371,019 | 4,223,683 |
| 2024 | 1,164,087 | 961,292 | 316,884 | 1,835,559 | 411,963 | 4,689,784 |
| 2025 | 1,173,119 | 968,750 | 319,343 | 1,849,800 | 415,159 | 4,726,171 |
| 2026 | 1,182,059 | 976,133 | 321,776 | 1,863,897 | 418,323 | 4,762,187 |

12,714,710 10,499,684 3,461,157 20,048,839

4,499,650 51,224,040

NOTE: The projection shown above is provided to meet the requirements of Wisconsin Statute 66.1105(4)(i)4.

