CITY OF FRANKLIN
BOARD OF ZONING AND BUILDING APPEALS*
FRANKLIN CITY HALL LOWER LEVEL CONFERENCE ROOM
9229 W. LOOMIS ROAD, FRANKLIN, WISCONSIN
AGENDA
WEDNESDAY, May 18, 2016, 6:30 P.M.

A. Call to Order and Roll Call

B. Approval of Minutes

   1. Approval of regular meeting of November 18, 2015.

C. Public Hearing Business Matters (action may be taken on all matters following the respective Public Hearing thereon).

   The Board of Zoning and Building Appeals may enter closed session pursuant to Wis. Stat. §19.85(1)(a) for deliberations on the subject matter below, and to reenter open session at the same place thereafter to act on such matters discussed therein as it deems appropriate.

   1. CASE NO. 2016-01: WILLIAM J. SPACIEL AND JACQUELINE A. SPACIEL VARIANCE APPLICATION. Variance request from Section 15-3.0801B.3., Table 15-3.0204 and Section 15-3.0801C.1. of the Unified Development Ordinance to allow a 288 square foot addition to the north side of an existing 480 square foot detached garage, resulting in a 768 square foot accessory building with a 15-foot rear yard setback from the north property line, opposed to the maximum accessory building size of 720 square feet and the R-3E District minimum rear yard setback of 30-feet for property located at 9212 West Grandview Court; Tax Key No. 753-0018-002.

   2. CASE NO. 2016-02: WILLIAM J. SPACIEL AND JACQUELINE A. SPACIEL AREAS EXCEPTION APPLICATION. Area Exception request from Table 15-3.0204 of the Unified Development Ordinance to allow for a maximum lot coverage of approximately 16.5%, exceeding the R-3E Suburban/Estate Single-Family Residence District maximum lot coverage standard of 0.15 or 15% for construction of a 288 square foot addition on the north side of an existing detached accessory building for property located at 9212 West Grandview Court; Tax Key No. 753-0018-002.

D. Announcement: Next meeting date

E. Adjournment

*Notice is given that a majority of the Common Council may attend this meeting to gather information about an Agenda item over which they have decision making responsibility. This may constitute a meeting of the Council per State ex rel. Badke v. Greendale Village Board even though the Council will not take formal action at this meeting.