CITY OF FRANKLIN
BOARD OF ZONING AND BUILDING APPEALS*
FRANKLIN CITY HALL LOWER LEVEL CONFERENCE ROOM
9229 W. LOOMIS ROAD, FRANKLIN, WISCONSIN
AGENDA
WEDNESDAY, August 17, 2016, 6:30 P.M.

A. Call to Order and Roll Call

B. Approval of Minutes

1. Approval of regular meeting of July 20, 2016.

C. Public Hearing Business Matters (action may be taken on all matters following the respective Public Hearing thereon).

The Board of Zoning and Building Appeals may enter closed session pursuant to Wis. Stat. §19.85(1)(a) for deliberations on the subject matter below, and to reenter open session at the same place thereafter to act on such matters discussed therein as it deems appropriate.

1. CASE NO. 2016-05: DAN KANITZ VARIANCE APPLICATION, 5600 WEST HARVARD DRIVE (TAX KEY NO. 711-1000-000). Variance from Table 15-3.0206 of the Unified Development Ordinance to allow for construction of a single-family dwelling with a 25-foot front yard setback, opposed to the required front yard setback of 35-feet. [SUBJECT MATTER CONTINUED FROM THE JULY 20, 2016 MEETING]

2. CASE NO. 2016-06: PHILLIP A. AND STEPHANIE P. MACIEJEWSKI VARIANCE APPLICATION, 7835 SOUTH 66TH STREET (TAX KEY NO. 790-0015-000). Variance from Table 15-3.0208 of the Unified Development Ordinance to allow for the 3-foot encroachment of the existing home into the side yard setback and construction of an addition to the existing home with a 10-foot side yard setback, opposed to the R-7 Two-Family Residence District required minimum side yard setback of 13-feet.

3. CASE NO. 2016-07: IPVESTMENTS LLC VARIANCE APPLICATION, 9465 SOUTH 92ND STREET (TAX KEY NO. 887-0031-000). Variance from Table 15-3.0203 of the Unified Development Ordinance to allow for the 24.6-foot corner side yard setback of the existing home and construction of an addition with a 24.9-foot corner side yard setback, opposed to the R-3 Residence District required minimum corner side yard setback of 35-feet.

D. Announcement: Next meeting date

E. Adjournment
Notice is given that a majority of the Common Council may attend this meeting to gather information about an Agenda item over which they have decision making responsibility. This may constitute a meeting of the Council per State ex rel. Badke v. Greendale Village Board even though the Council will not take formal action at this meeting.