

**CITY OF FRANKLIN  
BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS  
TUESDAY, AUGUST 21, 2012 AT 5:15 P.M.  
CITY HALL – CONFERENCE ROOM LOWER LEVEL  
9229 W. LOOMIS ROAD, FRANKLIN, WISCONSIN  
REVISED AGENDA\*\***

- I. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- II. CITIZEN COMMENT AND CORRESPONDENCE – MEMO FROM RESIDENT
- III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES MEETING OF JULY 17, 2012
- IV. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING ON AUGUST 2, 2012
- V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SPECIAL MEETING ON AUGUST 7, 2012
- VI. VOUCHER LIST/FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR AUGUST, 2012
- VII. REPORTS ON WATER SYSTEM PERFORMANCE
- VIII. OLD BUSINESS
  - A. STATUS OF OAK CREEK WATER RATE CASE
    - 1) NOTICE OF INCREASE TO BE SENT TO CUSTOMERS
    - 2) \*\* PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN CASE NO. 4310-WR-104;  
APPLICATION OF THE OAK CREEK WATER AND SEWER UTILITY TO INCREASE WATER RATES. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN FINAL DECISION DATED JULY 20, 2012 AND MAILED JULY 23, 2012.  
PETITION FOR RECONSIDERATION OR REHEARING

The Board may enter closed session pursuant to Wis.Stats.§19.85(1)(g) to deliberate upon and to confer with legal counsel for the Board who is rendering advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the Board with regard to litigation upon the Application of the Oak Creek Water and Sewer Utility, Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, for Authority to Increase Water Rates before the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, and to reenter open session at the same place thereafter to act on such matters discussed therein as it deems appropriate.
  - B. W. PUETZ ROAD BOOSTER PUMP STATION AND CONNECTING PIPELINES
    - 1) \* CHANGE ORDERS 2, 3 AND 4
  - C. INSPECTION SERVICE BY DIXON ENGINEERING
    - 1) \* CHANGE ORDER REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL TIME INSPECTION
  - D. \* DREXEL AVENUE WATER TOWER SELECTION OF PAINT COLORS
- IX. NEW BUSINESS
- X. ADJOURNMENT

\*Supporting documentation and details of these agenda items are available at City hall during normal business hours.

\*\*Notice is given that a majority of the Common Council may attend this meeting to gather information about an agenda item over which they have decision-making responsibility. This may constitute a meeting of the Council per State ex rel. Badke v. Greendale Village Bd. even though the Council will not take formal action at this meeting.